- date the report was prepared?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q So that reflects things as they were as of
- 4 February 23, 1995.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. So as of that date, any of your fellow
- 7 executives reading this report would understand that you
- 8 were planning to install for example Waterside Plaza on
- 9 March 15 and 75 West End Avenue on March 15 and the GM
- 10 Building on March 27. Is that right?
- 11 A I'd like to think so.
- 12 O Excuse me?
- 13 A I said I'd like to think they do.
- 14 Q Okay. But I mean that was the purpose of the
- report to let other people know what you, the Operations
- 16 Director, were planning? Right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. Now with respect to -- well let me strike
- 19 that. It's true, is it not that Liberty did not install
- 20 customers until the signal that the customers were going to
- 21 be buying was present in the building right?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So -- so in other words if you -- if you
- 24 plan to install customers beginning for example on March 15,
- 25 that would mean that you would plan to have a signal in the

- building on that date either from a microwave path or from a
- 2 coaxial cable connection from an adjoining building or
- 3 something. Is that right?
- 4 A Yes it -- we'd have a signal with that building.
- 5 Q Okay. So with respect to the microwave aspect of
- 6 this report, did you consult with Mr. Nourain when you --
- 7 before you prepared the report?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So would -- you know would you as a regular basis
- maybe have a little chat with him on Wednesday before the
- 11 Thursday this report was due and just get his update on his
- 12 progress in doing the microwave systems?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. But I take it that in none of those
- discussions did anything come up about licensing of
- 16 microwave systems? Is that what you're saying?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q All right.
- MR. BECKNER: Excuse me, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Off the record. Back on.
- BY MR. BECKNER:
- Q Mr. Ontiveros, were you aware that -- that at any
- time in 1995 that Time Warner had begun opposing Liberty's
- 24 microwave applications at the FCC?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Okay. And about when did you become aware of that, if you recall?
- A Again around that same time frame, the end of
- 4 April.
- 5 Q Did you learn of that at about the same time as
- 6 you learned that Liberty might be running without licenses?
- 7 A You know to me all that microwave, FCC, any of
- 8 those kind of issues, were all you know one and the same.
- 9 So probably just viewed it as this whole bunch of
- 10 information.
- 11 Q Okay. And you can't really in your mind sort of
- separate out one piece of it from another is that what
- 13 you're telling us?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And let me just ask you one other thing
- 16 about that. Did you have any understanding of what the
- 17 significance or important -- importance was of the fact that
- 18 Time Warner was petitioning against Liberty's applications
- 19 at the FCC?
- 20 A I'm sorry I didn't.
- 21 Q You said that you -- as part of this body of
- 22 knowledge that you had about microwaves and about Liberty's
- 23 being -- operating without licenses, you also knew that Time
- Warner was opposing Liberty's applications at the FCC?
- 25 Correct?

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Okay. And what I'd like you to tell us if you |
| 3 | could is what was the significance in your mind if any, of |
| 4 | the fact that Liberty's FCC applications were being opposed |
| 5 | by Time Warner at the FCC? |
| 6 | A I don't know if I had an opinion. |
| 7 | Q I mean did anybody tell you anything about why |
| 8 | this was or might have been an important fact? |
| 9 | A No I don't recall. |
| 10 | Q Okay. I don't have anything further, Your Honor. |
| 11 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Holt? |
| 12 | MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor. I have some |
| 13 | questions. Also, we did receive just before we began this |
| 14 | session this afternoon a set of documents that Louie |
| 15 | mentioned this morning were located in his files before we |
| 16 | began this morning. How would you like us to proceed? |
| 17 | Because they're there seems to be some |
| 18 | questions that I have emanating from these documents |
| 19 | although I've just taken a first pass through them. |
| 20 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Of Mr. Ontiveros? |
| 21 | MR. HOLT: They may be some of them may be of |
| 22 | Mr. Ontiveros. It's difficult to say having just received |
| 23 | the documents. I'm not positive where they originated. I |

procedures giving me some time to review these documents in

guess my question is have you thought about any sort of

24

25

- order to question the witnesses?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you had them since when?
- MR. HOLT: About I'm sorry what time did we return
- 4 here, 12:30?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah. At 12:30 you received them?
- 6 MR. HOLT: Yeah.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well let's take a 15 minute recess.
- 8 You look at them and talk to Mr. Beckner and Mr. Weber about
- 9 it and we'll come back on the record and you'll let me know
- 10 what you think.
- MR. HOLT: Okay. Thank you Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: We're at recess until 2:00.
- 7 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Be seated. Mr. Holt were you able
 - 14 to come to any conclusions?
 - MR. HOLT: Your Honor what I would like to do is
 - begin with some questioning and try to proceed through some
 - 17 of these documents. I understand -- I have not seen these
 - any time earlier than today. And have only been able to
 - 19 flag -- I mean I've been through them now once. And I
 - 20 flagged some that have raised some questions that I'd like
 - 21 to ask. But --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Are these questions -- I mean these
- 23 have to be questions that are focused on the you know on the
- 24 credibility issue, not just a question of --
- MR. HOLT: Yeah --

| 1 | JUDGE SIPPEL: We're not doing an audit here. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. HOLT: No, you're right. They are focussed on |
| 3 | credibility issue. I guess I'm just seeking in advance your |
| 4 | indulgence in asking some of these questions since these are |
| 5 | entirely fresh documents and I haven't had an opportunity to |
| 6 | explore them with the witness. |
| 7 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I mean what do you intend to |
| 8 | do? To have them marked as an exhibit and offer them into |
| 9 | evidence? Or how do you expect to how do you intend to |
| 10 | question the witnesses with the documents? |
| 11 | MR. HOLT: What I could do, Your Honor, is |
| 12 | whichever way Your Honor thinks it would be best to proceed. |
| 13 | I could put copies of the documents in front of the witness. |
| 14 | I believe that Liberty's counsel has indicated that they |
| 15 | have an extra copy. If I can ask them questions if it seems |
| 16 | like it is necessary we can mark it for identification. |
| 17 | However, Your Honor would like to proceed. |
| 18 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Well again have what does Mr. |
| 19 | Begleiter, Mr. Spitzer what is your views on this? I mean |
| 20 | what have you gave this some thought off the record or |
| 21 | what? |
| 22 | MR. SPITZER: Well we do have an extra set, Your |
| 23 | Honor so we're obviously happy to accommodate mechanically |
| 24 | with respect to whatever Your Honor desires. And we |
| 25 | understand we produced these documents late, so we won't |
| | |

- object if Mr. Holt wants to use them in what would be
- 2 slightly you know unconventional procedure since this is the
- 3 hearing itself.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not -- I'm not -- I really
- 5 am not terrorized by unconventional procedures. As long as
- 6 they work.
- 7 MR. SPITZER: We would be happy to permit him to
- 8 ask the questions if he has particular documents here which
- 9 he feels raise relevant questions of the witness. I gather
- 10 just from asking him, he asked me just to identify just a
- 11 few documents where they came from, I gather its not that
- many documents.
- So I don't think it should take that long to -- to
- 14 do this.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Do you have a set for
- 16 me? In what they might appropriate to say in a different
- 17 context we'll start by winging it and see how we can do it.
- 18 But I would be inclined to do would be to certainly have
- 19 some way of identifying -- we have to identify what the
- 20 witness is testifying if he has questions of and at some
- 21 later date we can actually you know have them marked with
- the reporter and bring them into evidence.
- MR. HOLT: Your Honor I just want to clarify that
- 24 to one set of documents, not two.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh.

- 1 MR. HOLT: I looked in the closet and said two big
- binders, it's one set of documents. And each document does
- 3 have a Bates number on it. Since these are production
- 4 documents there is an identifying number at the base of each
- 5 page that can very readily be identify the documents.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Then this is a -- do
- 7 you have another set for the witness? This is it. All
- 8 right. We'll --
- 9 MR. HOLT: We brought production sets for all
- 10 counsel, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well let's -- we're
- 12 going to still try and -- and make this work and let
- MR. HOLT: Okay.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- this witness go home tonight.
- 15 All right let's go. Go ahead Mr. Holt.
- 16 CROSS EXAMINATION
- MR. HOLT: Yhank you, Your Honor. I'd like to
- 18 begin by directing the witness' attention to Time Warner
- 19 Cablevision Exhibit 40.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's 40?
- MR. HOLT: Yeah, it should be in a binder.
- 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: That will be at the end of the
- 23 binder but it won't be in a tab. It will be with these
- 24 documents here.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.

- JUDGE SIPPEL: See if you can keep them in a clip
- 2 please if you would. This is the reporter's copy you have.
- 3 Number 40?
- 4 MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right. That's okay.
- 7 This is the letter dated July 12, 1995 from Mr. Lehmkuhl to
- 8 the FCC. This is Number 40 is that correct?
- 9 MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
- MR. HOLT: It's a request for STA that was filed
- 12 by Liberty on July 12, 1995.
- 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay
- 14 BY MR. HOLT:
- 15 Q Mr. Ontiveros, if you could turn to page 6 of that
- 16 exhibit you could see the six on the bottom of the right
- 17 hand corner. Do you have that before you?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You see a number of received locations listed on
- the right hand side do you not?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And are you familiar with those received
- 23 locations?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Now it's been stipulated and our authorization for

- 1 Liberty to operate OFS paths to these received locations was
- granted by the FCC on September 7, 1995. That's been
- 3 stipulated, too, by your counsel.
- 4 My question is turning first to the address listed
- 5 4525 Henry.
- A I'm sorry you mentioned a date. Were you reading
- 7 from this page?
- 8 Q No you can take it as a given that Liberty
- 9 received authorization to operate a path to this site on
- 10 September 7, 1995.
- 11 A And that's I'm sorry which address?
- 12 O To all of these addresses.
- 13 A To all of them. Okay.
- 14 Q My question focussing first on the 4525 Henry
- 15 address. Do you know whether Liberty commenced providing
- service to that location prior to September 7, 1995?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q You don't know or they did not?
- 19 A I don't know.
- 20 MR. SPITZER: Can I just ask was that an address
- 21 listed on the HDO?
- MR. HOLT: No it's not.
- 23 MR. SPITZER: Well Your Honor I would just ask
- 24 that Mr. Holt not ask if that address is not on the HDO. I
- 25 mean I think it's in line with your ruling of last week.

- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well it is. But I thought when you
- were asking about 2727 Palisades.
- MR. BEGLEITER: No he's not. He asked about 4525
- 4 Henry.
- 5 MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor 2727 Palisades was one
- of the path sites listed on the HDO.
- 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know.
- 8 MR. HOLT: What I'm seeking to know whether
- 9 Liberty commenced service to any of the other paths listed
- on this -- in this list prior to the time that they received
- 11 authorization in September?
- 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh. I'm going to sustain the
- 13 objection.
- MR. HOLT: And again --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: What you're suggesting is is that
- 16 Appendix A to the Hearing Designation Order is not full and
- 17 complete. Because I'm sure that the Bureau, I'm sure that
- 18 they were being charged with every unauthorized premature
- 19 activation that was done.
- 20 MR. HOLT: That the Bureau was made aware of Your
- 21 Honor but what I'm also suggesting is if they commenced
- 22 operations during the time when they were implementing this
- 23 compliance program and the issue of the effect on the
- 24 programs.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's not what we're hear to

- 1 decide though. We're really -- I mean I gave you some
- leeway on that the other day, but we're not here to decide
- 3 that. This has to do with candor and misrepresentation,
- false statements, that type of thing. So I -- I'm going to
- 5 sustain the objection.
- 6 MR. HOLT: Thank you Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you finished with this
- 8 document?
- 9 MR. HOLT: Yes I am. Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. HOLT:
- 11 Q I guess I'd like to begin my review of the
- documents that were provided this morning by directing
- 13 your -- or this afternoon by directing your attention to --
- MR. SPITZER: I think --
- 15 MR. HOLT: I received them only on lunch break.
- 16 BY MR. HOLT:
- 17 Q I guess by directing your attention to the
- documents that begins with the Bates number 017585.
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: 0-1-7
- 20 MR. HOLT: 585.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Begleiter, can you have
- somebody come up here and help the witness, direct the
- 23 witness to this? Somebody come here on this side of the
- 24 table now. Thank you, Mr. Chen.
 - 25 //

| 1 | BY MR. HOLT: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q As a foundational matter, do you know during the |
| 3 | period of July 1994 through July 1995 whether Liberty had in |
| 4 | place any sort of policy or procedure with respect to how |
| 5 | long it would wait to activate microwave paths after |
| 6 | submitting requests for path coordination? |
| 7 | A No. |
| 8 | Q Do you know whether Mr. Nourain had any sort of |
| 9 | practice or procedure in place to activate paths based on an |
| 10 | assumption as to when the FCC might act on a request for |
| 11 | authorization to operate the paths? |
| 12 | A No, I don't. |
| 13 | Q I've been questioning the witness about this |
| 14 | document. I'll even be brief Your Honor. |
| 15 | JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Thank you Mr. Holt. |
| 16 | MR. SPITZER: Just for the record, Your Honor I |
| 17 | don't believe this is a new document. I think this is may |
| 18 | even be part of Exhibit 24. |

- JUDGE SIPPEL: Whose 24?
- MR. SPITZER: Time Warner I'm sorry.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right well let's not.
- MR. SPITZER: I'm sorry I hate to belabor it.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: No I don't -- I don't object to
- 24 your doing that, Mr. Spitzer, but noted that. Let's move
- 25 on.

| | 1 | MR. HOLT: Your Honor actually that's an |
|---|----|--|
| , | 2 | interesting point. If I may since Liberty's counsel has |
| | 3 | indicated that it might be a part of 24, you'll see that |
| | 4 | this document that I just directed to you, directed your |
| | 5 | attention to on Document Number 017588. |
| | 6 | JUDGE SIPPEL: That's a Bates Number. Right? |
| | 7 | MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor. |
| | 8 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Now go ahead. |
| | 9 | MR. HOLT: Which is labelled C List not activated |
| | 10 | buildings under contract. If you compare that with the same |
| | 11 | page for Time Warner Cablevision Exhibit 24, you'll see that |
| | 12 | this page of the recently given document is not redacted |
| 1 | 13 | except for the first entry under buildings. But the entire |
| | 14 | page is redacted in the copy that was given to us that we |
| | 15 | made an exhibit. |
| | 16 | So I would ask that we substitute the non-redacted |
| | 17 | page for this redacted page so that we have a complete |
| | 18 | document because there are arguments I'd like to make off of |
| | 19 | this page. |
| | 20 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you're going to have to |
| | 21 | you're going to have to no I'm not going to permit that. |
| | 22 | I mean there has to be a better showing than what you've |
| | 23 | just represented. Let me just let me ask Mr. Begleiter |
| ~ | 24 | and Mr. Spitzer. |
| | 25 | What is what's the purpose of the redactions? |

- 1 Is this because -- well you tell me.
- MR. SPITZER: They were buildings unrelated to the
- 3 HDO Your Honor. I mean those were the documents created
- 4 subsequent to the issuance of the HDO to -- as part of what
- 5 Mr. Price testified at length about in the several of the
- 6 depositions in effort just to figure out what had happened
- 7 and the redactions were related to non HDO buildings.
- 8 MR. HOLT: Your Honor the redactions also -- the
- 9 redacted information as we now see include dates that
- 10 certain conduct occurred it appears. And some of those
- 11 dates correlate to the with the dates that such was
- 12 activated for 2727 Palisades.
- And its curious to me that these buildings don't
- 14 appear to have been commenced prematurely yet the time
- sequence very similar if not identical to the time sequence
- that proceeded the activation of 2727 Palisades.
- 17 It goes to the question of whether or not Mr.
- Nourain is providing truthful testimony with regard to his
- 19 assumptions and how he proceeded with respect to activating
- 20 service.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I'm not so sure about the
- 22 accuracy of Mr. Nourain's assumptions. But you know
- 23 truthfulness, intent and all that is a whole different -- a
- 24 whole different phase of what we're trying to do here.
- Let me ask -- let me ask the Bureau what their

- 1 views are on this. Mr. Weber?
- MR. WEBER: Well I don't -- I -- Mr. Holt I think
- 3 just kind of lost me on his last point. With the activation
- 4 of -- about the dates correlating with the activation of
- 5 2727 Palisades. Because the "C" List are non activated
- 6 buildings.
- 7 I certainly wouldn't have any objection to having
- 8 a version in the record which shows the dates. I don't know
- 9 what that will add to the record of -- if Mr. Holt does have
- some argument to be made and -- and the dates would be
- 11 necessary to make that argument. I you know I don't think
- the Bureau should be in the position of trying to stop that
- from being -- from occurring.
- But what he just proffered. I guess maybe I don't
- understand what he's wanting the dates for. Because the "C"
- list is non-activated buildings and so any date correlating
- 17 with the activation of 2727 Palisades, I'm not sure how a
- 18 non-activated -- how dates relating to non-activated
- 19 buildings could further the record.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Holt do you want to respond to
- 21 that? I'm sorry Mr. Beckner.
- MR. BECKNER: Yeah I -- I -- I think I mean the
- 23 point was and Mr. Nourain at one point said you know
- originally said that he turned these on because he thought
- 25 that -- he thought that applications had been filed and a

- certain amount of time has passed and so it was time to turn
- them on. And he assumed that the applications had been
- 3 granted.
- That was in his testimony. Well that was what his
- 5 original explanation was back in 1995. The interesting
- 6 thing about this "C" list here, the one that's not totally
- 7 censored is that there are other buildings here which are
- 8 identified I mean which were not named on the list, but
- 9 which were described as not activating which have contract
- 10 dates that are frankly pretty old.
- 11 You know October 20, 1994, January 30, 1995. And
- the question is if one building was turned on by Mr.
- Nourain's 2727 Palisades because he thought that an
- 14 application had been filed say two months ago, then why
- weren't all of these buildings turned on for the same
- 16 reason? Because the contract dates and the application
- dates are all within the same general time period.
- 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Just a second.
- 19 MR. BEGLEITER: I don't want the witness to be
- 20 hearing this. But Mr. Nourain did not testify to the --
- 21 that the starting point for him was the contract date. He
- testified that the starting point for him was one of the
- 23 coordination dates. Take a look, I'm not sure which
- 24 coordination date is on this list. But they're all pretty
- 25 recent.

| | 1 | JUDGE SIPPEL: A com search coordination. |
|---------------|----|--|
| | 2 | MR. BEGLEITER: Yeah but there were two com |
| | 3 | searches. Remember there was a supplemental showing and |
| | 4 | there was the original prior coordination. Assuming its the |
| | 5 | prior coordination. It doesn't matter. The earliest date I |
| | 6 | have here is March 21, 1995. Most of the date for |
| | 7 | coordination are in July and May. Well after everybody |
| | 8 | acknowledges Liberty knew. |
| | 9 | So it doesn't you know the two dates in March |
| | 10 | would still be only about four to five weeks after Liberty |
| | 11 | acknowledges it knew theit knew that there was a problem |
| | 12 | and began to stop all activation. So I don't I don't get |
| $\overline{}$ | 13 | the point. The point is he never testified the contract |
| | 14 | date was the triggering event for for his assumptions. |
| | 15 | MR. HOLT: Your Honor I believe the contract, the |
| | 16 | record will reflect that the contract date did bear into his |
| | 17 | considerations, but he did focus on the path coordination |
| | 18 | date. The path coordination date that Mr. Begleiter just |
| | 19 | referred to, March 31, 1995, was I believe the same path |
| | 20 | coordination date for 2727 Palisades. The license |
| | 21 | application date that Mr that that relates to this |
| | 22 | 3/21/95 path coordination is March 24, 95, that was also the |
| | 23 | same date that 2727 Palisades was filed with the FCC. |
| $\overline{}$ | 24 | And then they have STA applications that were the |
| | 25 | same as the date that an STA request was filed for 2727 |
| | | |

- 1 Palisades. And yet Liberty apparently didn't activate
- 2 service to these two buildings.
- And the question is, if Mr. Nourain was proceeding
- 4 according to certain assumptions that applied in all
- 5 instances, why did they activate service to 2727 Palisades
- 6 and not to these buildings?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --
- 8 MR. BEGLEITER: Judge excuse us for not screwing
- 9 up even more than we did. I don't know why he didn't do it,
- 10 but thank God.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Now the only -- well I say -- I --
- 12 I'm -- I'm trying to follow this as best I can from up here.
- 13 With what we don't even have marked as an exhibit. But I do
- 14 -- I understand the substance of what's being said and
- 15 really it goes back to my initial ruling in this -- in how
- 16 we're going to handle this issue of credibility and that is
- 17 I'm staying focussed on what has been alleged by the
- 18 Commission's hearing designation order on whether or not
- 19 whether the Commission was -- was misrepresented in the
- 20 context of those activities.
- If we keep going down this road that you're trying
- to take us, I have no idea where it might end.
- MR. HOLT: Well I --
- 24 JUDGE SIPPEL: And it has nothing to do -- it
- 25 could have absolutely nothing to do with candor. So I'm --

- 1 I'm at a loss here. I'm not at a loss. I mean I'm at a
- 2 loss in terms of where this might take us to. I
- 3 understand -- I understand what you're saying.
- And I understand what Mr. Beckner is saying. And
- I will take that as a proffer, but my ruling is going to be
- 6 based on -- based on rule 403 of the Federal Rules of
- 7 Evidence and plus in addition to listening very carefully to
- 8 what Mr. Weber. I just don't see where this is going to add
- 9 to the case.
- 10 But I have -- it's all kinds of risk in terms of
- where it might subtract from the case, in terms of adding
- 12 confusion to -- in the event somebody at the next level may
- want to take a look at this. So I'm going to -- anyway,
- 14 that's my ruling.
- 15 Do you have another document that you want to ask
- 16 this witness about that's been recently provided?
- MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 BY MR. HOLT:
- 19 Q If I could direct the witnesses attention to the
- document bearing the Bates Number 017717. It's an incident
- 21 report. At the top there is a heading June 24 June 30,
- 22 1995. June 24 June 30, 1995.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you hear him all right?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Can I see your paper?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Can you get a little closer to the

- 1 microphone, Mr. Ontiveros? No go ahead. If I need it, I'll
- 2 ask for it.
- MR. SPITZER: We don't have a Bates.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right let's go off the record a
- 5 minute. Mr. Holt?
- 6 BY MR. HOLT:
- 7 Q Do you have that incident report before you Mr.
- 8 Ontiveros?
- 9 A Yes. I do.
- 10 Q I was curious as to a couple of entries that
- occur three boxes down. 626 305 pm there's an entry for
- 12 Lincoln Harbor. First of all, could you describe for me
- 13 what this incident report is?
- 14 A It's just that a weekly -- it appears to be.
- 15 Let's see. A weekly incident report of any sort of system
- 16 problems.
- 17 Q All right. During this period of time did you
- 18 receive -- did you create this report?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q During this report did you receive reports such as
- 21 this from people who reported to you?
- 22 A I received reports like this yes.
- 23 Q You're familiar with what this document is?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q If you focus on the box that that is three lines

- down, three boxes down, it has the entry Lincoln Harbor. Do
- you have that?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q If you look over to the right, second box from the
- 5 end there, there's a reference to a microwave transmitter on
- 6 the West Side being replaced. Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Can you relate to me what that reference is to?
- 9 A What it relates to it's a transmitter on the part
- of our network needed to be replaced.
- 11 Q Did during this period of time was service being
- 12 provided to Lincoln Harbor some sort of microwave path?
- 13 A Yes. Because it's showing up -- the address is
- showing up as part of that problem.
- 15 Q Is that a reference to Lincoln Harbor Yacht Club?
- 16 A I believe so.
- 17 Q So during this period of time Lincoln Harbor was
- 18 receiving service via microwave is that your testimony?
- 19 A From this report it appears that there was a
- 20 problem with the transmitter and it affected Lincoln Harbor.
- 21 Q Do you have a recollection as to where the
- 22 transmitter was located that was providing that service to
- 23 Lincoln Harbor in this period of time?
- 24 A Well it says West Side, so it would have been our
- 25 West Side transmitter.

- 1 Q Where is that transmitter located?
- 2 A The transmitter is located at 95th street.
- 3 Q What about the reference here to Normandy? Under
- 4 action taken.
- 5 A Same. Normandy is the name of the building.
- 6 Q Oh, it is.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: The name of which building? The
- 8 building for the transmitter or --
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes it's 215 East 95th Street.
- 10 BY MR. HOLT:
- 11 Q So during this period of time, service was being
- 12 provided to the Lincoln Harbor Yacht Club site from a
- 13 transmitter located at the Normandy?
- 14 A According to the -- again I don't know dates
- exactly but obviously if it's mentioning the West Side
- 16 Transmitter and Lincoln Harbor's there, so I would assume
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q Did there come a time to your knowledge that the -
- to your knowledge at any time after June 20 well June 30th
- 20 1995, did Liberty switch locations from which it was
- 21 transmitting microwave signal to Lincoln Harbor? Did it
- 22 move transmitter sites for the signal being provided from
- 23 Lincoln Harbor?
- 24 A I don't think so.
- 25 Q So to your knowledge the Normandy site is the only

- 1 transmit site that has provided service to Lincoln Harbor
- 2 from June 30 1995 onwards?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Do you know when service was commenced from the
- 5 Normandy site to Lincoln Harbor?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Who was it that prepared this incident report? Do
- 8 you know?
- 9 A The customers -- Director of Customer Service.
- 10 Customer Service Manager.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Who's that? Does that person have
- 12 a name that you can testify to?
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to think of the period of
- 14 time. It was -- I would think at that period of time it
- 15 would have been Anne -- Anne Rosenberg.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Who's office is she in?
- 17 THE WITNESS: She was down at the -- again during
- 18 this time she was down at 575 Madison.
- 19 BY MR. HOLT:
- Q Did you maintain any records in your files Mr.
- 21 Ontiveros that would allow us to discern when service was
- 22 activated from Normandy to Lincoln Harbor?
- 23 A Yes. It would be that progress report.
- 24 Q Around this time period?
- 25 A I don't know.

```
If you can bear with me a quick moment,
                MR. HOLT:
1
     Your Honor I'd like to take a quick look at this.
2
                BY MR. HOLT:
3
                Is this the installation report that you
 4
      circulated at the weekly meetings?
 5
                Yes.
 6
           Α
                JUDGE SIPPEL: This is Exhibit 24? Is that what
 7
      you're referring to?
 8
                MR. HOLT: I'm trying to --
 9
                MR. BECKNER: 14.
10
                JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, 14. Let's go off the
11
      record.
12
                (Continued on next page.)
13
      //
14
15
      11
      //
16
      //
17
      //
18
      //
19
      //
20
      //
21
      //
22
      //
23
24
      //
      //
25
```